





Format for the Second National Report on the implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety



GUIDELINES FOR USE OF THE REPORTING FORMAT

The following format for preparation of the second national report on implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety called for under Article 33 of the Protocol is a series of questions based on those requirements of the Protocol as well as questions that relate to indicators of the Strategic Plan.

Responses to these questions will help Parties to review the extent to which they are successfully implementing the provisions of the Protocol and will assist the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol to assess the overall status of implementation of the Protocol.

Questions highlighted in grey may not strictly be based on provisions of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety or the decisions of the Parties to the Protocol. They are included in this reporting format only to help draw a baseline for the assessment and review of the Protocol in the context of Article 35 and to help measure progress in the implementation of the Strategic Plan of the Protocol.

The deadline for submission of the second national report is no less than 12 months prior to the sixth meeting of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol. It is intended to cover activities undertaken between the presentation of the first national report (or the entry into force of the Protocol for reporting Parties that ratified or acceded to the Protocol after 11 September 2007) and the date of reporting for the second national report.

For subsequent national reports, the format is expected to evolve, as questions that are no longer relevant may be deleted, questions that are relevant to ongoing progress in implementation will be retained, and additional questions will be formulated pursuant to future decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Protocol.

The wording of questions follows the wording of the relevant articles of the Protocol as closely as possible. The use of terms in the questions follows the meanings accorded to them under Article 3 of the Protocol.

The format tries to minimize the reporting burden on Parties, while eliciting the important information regarding implementation of the provisions of the Protocol. Most of the questions asked require only a tick in one or more boxes and for each article, a text field allows the provision of further details on its implementation. Although there is no set limit on the length of text, in order to assist with the review and synthesis of the information in the reports, respondents are asked to ensure that answers are as relevant and as succinct as possible.

The Executive Secretary welcomes any comments on the adequacy of the questions, and difficulties in completing the questions, and any further recommendations on how these reporting guidelines could be improved. Space is provided for such comments at the end of the report.

It is recommended that Parties involve all relevant stakeholders in the preparation of the report, in order to ensure a participatory and transparent approach to its development and the accuracy of the information requested.

The form is also available on the BCH for completion electronically at the following address: http://bch.cbd.int/managementcentre/edit/CPBnationalreport2.shtml

<u>IMPORTANT:</u> To facilitate the analysis of the information contained in this report, it is recommended that Parties submit the report through the Biosafety Clearing-House or as an attachment to an e-mail in MS Word format, together with a scanned copy of the first signed page, to the Secretariat at: secretariat@cbd.int



Second National Report on the Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety

Origin of report

1.	Country:	Philippines			
Contact officer for report					
2.	Name of contact officer:	Mario G. Montejo			
3.	Title of contact officer:	Secretary, Department of Science and Technology			
٥.	2200 01 000000 022001	Chairman, National Committee on Biosafety of the Philippines			
4.	Organization	Department of Science and Technology			
_	Mailing address:	Department of Science and Technology			
5.		General Santos Avenue, Bicutan, Taguig City			
6.	Telephone:	(632) 837-29-39			
7.	Fax:	(632) 837-2937			
8.	E-mail:	mgmontejo@dost.gov.ph			
9.	Organizations/stakeholders who were consulted or participated in the preparation of this report:	Please see Annex 1 on List of Participants per Consultation.			
Subi	nission				
10.	Date of submission:	12 September 2007			
11.	Time period covered by this report:	30 September 2011			
Sign	Signature of the reporting officer ¹				

¹ This document is made available as a protected form in MS Word format for further processing of the information contained therein by the CBD Secretariat. Only text entries and checkboxes are changeable. Once the document is filled in, please save it and print this first page for signature. The form is also available on the BCH for completion electronically at: http://bch.cbd.int/managementcentre/edit/CPBnationalreport2.shtml

12.	Is your country a Party to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB)?		Yes
			No
13.	If you answered <i>No</i> to question 12, is there		Yes
13.	any national process in place towards		No
	becoming a Party?		Not applicable
14.	Here you may provide further details:		
	Philippines ratified the Cartagena Protocol on Bioforce on January 2007	osafety i	in August 2006 and entered into
	Article 2 – General pr	ovisions	
		\boxtimes	A domestic regulatory framework is fully in place
1.5	Has your country introduced the necessary legal, administrative and other measures for the implementation of the Protocol?		A domestic regulatory framework is partially in place
15.			Only temporary measures have been introduced
			Only a draft framework exists
			No measures have yet been taken

		\boxtimes	One or more national biosafety laws
		\boxtimes	One or more national biosafety regulations
16.	Which specific instruments are in place for the implementation of your national biosafety framework?		One or more sets of biosafety guidelines
			Other laws, regulations or guidelines that indirectly apply to biosafety
			No instruments are in place
17.	Has your country established a mechanism for	\boxtimes	Yes
	the budgetary allocations of funds for the operation of its national biosafety framework?		No
18.	Does your country have permanent staff to	\boxtimes	Yes
	administer functions directly related to the national biosafety framework?		No
			One
19.	If you answered Yes to question 18, how		Less than 5
	many permanent staff members are in place whose functions are directly related to the		Less than 10
	national biosafety framework?		More than 10
			Not applicable
20.	Has your country's biosafety framework /		Yes
20.	laws / regulations / guidelines been submitted to the Biosafety Clearing-House (BCH)?		Partially
			No

Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 2 in your country: Question # 15 Explanatory Comment: We have a National Biosafety Framework, Executive Order 514 series 2006 in place. However, only a domestic regulatory framework is in place for agricultural plants and plant products. The Department of Environment and Natural Resource is formulating regulations for GM forest and wildlife resources. Question # 16 Explanatory Comment: National Biosafety Framework- E.O. 514s. 2006 Regulations- DA AO No 8s. 2002 which is based on Presidential decree 1433 Plant Ouarantine Act the Consumer Code and our commitments to WTO-SPS, Codex and IPPC. Biosafety Guidelines – NCBP E.O. 430 Planned Release of GMOs and PHES, DA Guidelines on Risk Assessment for Field Trials, propagation and direct use for food, feed or processing. Other laws, regulations that indirectly apply - Wildlife Resources Conservation and Protection, Plant Variety Protection Act, NIPAS, etc. Question # 17 Explanatory Comment: EO 514 mandates the DOST, DENR, DA and DOH to allocate from their existing budgets such amount as may be necessary to implement the NBF, including to support the operations of the NCBP and its secretariat. Thereafter, the funding requirements shall be included in the General Appropriations bill submitted to Congress. **Article 5 – Pharmaceuticals** Yes Does your country regulate the transboundary movement, handling and use of living Yes, to some extent modified organisms (LMOs) which are pharmaceuticals? \square No Yes

If you answered *Yes* to question 22, has this information been submitted to the BCH?

Partially

Not applicable

No

 \boxtimes

24.	Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 5 in your country:		
	As UN Member State the Philippines impler Certification Scheme on the Quality of Pharmace Commerce (World Health Assembly Resolutions WHA41.18 (1988), WHA45.29 (1992) and WHA50	eutical F WHA2	Products Moving In International 2.50 (1969), WHA28.65 (1975),
	Article 6 – Transit and Co	ntained	l use
25.	Does your country regulate the transit of		Yes
	LMOs?	\boxtimes	No
26.	Does your country regulate the contained use		Yes
	of LMOs?		No
			Yes
27.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to questions 25 or 26, has this information been submitted to the BCH?		Partially
		\boxtimes	No
			Not applicable
28.	Here you may provide further details on the imcountry:	plemen	tation of Article 6 in your
	Question # 25 Explanatory Comment:		
	The Department of Agriculture has no regulation for transit of GM agricultural crops / seeds at the moment. The Plant Quarantine Service of the Department of Agriculture however requires that if a shipment/container, a part of which is destined for the Philippines is opened, PQS inspects the remaining part of the shipment. Otherwise if shipments just pass the Philippines and are not opened in the port, no inspection is done by PQS. So far most shipments of GMOs destined to the Philippines are required to be in the Registry of Approved regulated articles and required to be accompanied by a Phytosanitary Certificate.		
	Articles 7 to 10: Advance Informed Articles 8 to 10		
29.	Has your country adopted law(s) / regulations / administrative measures for the operation of the AIA procedure of the Protocol?		Yes No

30.	Has your country adopted a domestic regulatory framework consistent with the Protocol regarding the transboundary movement of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		Yes No
31.	Has your country established a mechanism for taking decisions regarding first intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		Yes No
32.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 31, does the	\boxtimes	Yes
	mechanism also apply to cases of intentional introduction of LMOs into the environment		No
	that were not subject to transboundary movement?		Not applicable
33.	Has your country established a mechanism for	\boxtimes	Yes
	monitoring potential effects of LMOs that are released into the environment?		No

			Yes
34.	Does your country have the capacity to detect and identify LMOs?	\boxtimes	Yes, to some extent
			No
35.	Has your country established legal requirements for exporters under its jurisdiction to notify in writing the competent national authority of the Party of import prior to the intentional transboundary movement of	\boxtimes	Yes No
	an LMO that falls within the scope of the AIA procedure?		
36.	Has your country established legal	\boxtimes	Yes
	requirements for the accuracy of information contained in the notification?		No
37.	Has your country ever received an application / notification regarding intentional	\boxtimes	Yes
	transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment?		No
38.	Has your country ever taken a decision on an	\boxtimes	Yes
	application / notification regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for		No
	intentional introduction into the environment?		Not applicable
			None
39.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 38, how many LMOs has your country approved to date for import for intentional introduction		Less than 5
			Less than 10
	into the environment?		More than 10
			Not applicable
			None
40.	If you answered Yes to question 38, how		Less than 5
	many LMOs, not imported, has your country approved to date for intentional introduction		Less than 10
	into the environment?		More than 10
			Not applicable

41.	In the current reporting period, how many		None
41.	applications/notifications has your country		Less than 5
	received regarding intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional		Less than 10
	introduction into the environment?		More than 10
42.	In the current reporting period, how many		None
72.	decisions has your country taken regarding	\boxtimes	Less than 5
	intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the		Less than 10
	environment?		More than 10
If yo	ou replied <u>None</u> to question 42 please go to questi	on 50	
43.	With reference to the decisions taken on		
	intentional transboundary movements of LMOs for intentional introduction into the		Yes, always
	environment, has your country received a		In some cases only
	notification from the Party(ies) of export or from the exporter(s) prior to the transboundary movement?		No
			Yes, always
44.	Did the notifications contain complete information (at a minimum the information		In some cases only
	specified in Annex I of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety)?		No
	Trotocor on Biosarcty).		Not applicable
			Yes, always
45.	Has your country acknowledged receipt of the notifications to the notifier within ninety days of receipt?		In some cases only
			No
			Not applicable

			Yes, always
		\boxtimes	In some cases only
46.	Has your country informed the notifier(s) and		In some cases only the notifier
	the BCH of its decision(s)?		In some cases only the BCH
			No
			Not applicable
			Yes, always
47.	Has your country informed the notifier(s) and the BCH of its decision(s) in due time (within		In some cases only
	270 days or the period specified in your communication to the notifier)?		No
	communication to the notificity.		Not applicable
		[%]	Approving the import without conditions
	What percentage of your country's decisions fall into the following categories?	[1009	%] Approving the import with conditions
		[%]	Prohibiting the import
48.		[80%	Requesting additional information
		[%]	Extending the period for the communication of the decision
			Not applicable
			Yes, always
			In some cases only
49.	In cases where your country approved an import with conditions or prohibited an import, did it provide reasons on which its		In some cases only to the notifier
	decisions were based to the notifier and the BCH?		In some cases only to the BCH
	DCIT.		No
			Not applicable

Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Articles 7-10 in your country, including measures in case of lack of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of LMOs for intentional introduction to the environment: Question # 31 Explanatory Comment: The Bureau of Plant Industry (BPI) requires a biosafety permit for LMOs for field test and propagation. The Biosafety permit to field test is valid for 2 years and to propagate is 5 years. The Plant Quarantine Clearance (Import Permit) which is a Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) measure serves as the AIA. In addition, for every importation, a declaration of GM content is required by the BPI upon arrival of shipment. Question # 32 Explanatory Comment: For LMOs introduced into the environment not subject to transboundary movement, a biosafety permit is required. The technology developer should apply for a biosafety permit from the BPI. Movement of LMOs within the country will require a domestic permit. Question # 34 Explanatory Comment: The BPI Molecular Laboratory can currently handle protein based (Lateral strips) and DNAbased methods (Conventional PCR) of LMO detection and quantitative analysis can be done pending acquisition of real time PCR. Question # 35 Explanatory Comment: In general all legal requirements are based on the WTO-SPS agreement. That is, if the country of import requires an SPS measure such as notification, the BPI issues a PQC or certificate of GM content, whatever is required. Most importing countries requires a PQC consistent with Article 8 of the Cartagena Protocol. We can however formally put in place in the future a legal requirement for exporters to notify in writing the Competent National Authority of the party of import prior to the intentional transboundary movement, including accuracy of information. Question # 48 Eplanatory Comment: We prohibit importation of unapproved events. **Article 11 – Procedure for living modified organisms** intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (LMOs-FFP) Has your country adopted specific law(s) or \boxtimes Yes regulation(s) for decision-making regarding domestic use, including placing on the No

market, of LMOs-FFP?

country

to be provided by the applicant?

requirements for the accuracy of information

your

52. Has

established

legal

 \mathbb{M}

Yes

No

53.	Has your country established a mechanism to ensure that decisions regarding LMOs-FFP that may be subject to transboundary movement will be communicated to the Parties through the BCH?		Yes No
54.	Has your country established a mechanism for taking decisions on the import of LMOs-FFP?		Yes
	taking decisions on the import of Livios-14-4;		No
55.	Has your country declared through the BCH that in the absence of a regulatory framework		Yes
	its decisions prior to the first import of an LMO-FFP will be taken according to Article 11.6 of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety?		No
56.	Has your country indicated its needs for	\boxtimes	Yes
	financial and technical assistance and capacity-building in respect of LMOs-FFP?		No
57.	Has your country ever taken a decision on LMOs-FFP (either on import or domestic use)?	\boxtimes	Yes
			No
If yo	u replied <u>No</u> to question 57 please go to question 63		
			None
	How many LMOs-FFP has your country approved to date?		Less than 5
58.			Less than 10
		\boxtimes	More than 10
			Not applicable
			None
59.	In the current reporting period, how many decisions has your country taken regarding	\boxtimes	Less than 5
	the import of LMOs-FFP?		Less than 10
			More than 10

			None
60.	In the current reporting period, how many decisions has your country taken regarding		Less than 5
	domestic use, including placing on the market, of LMOs-FFP?		Less than 10
			More than 10
If yo	ou replied <u>None</u> to both questions 59 and 60 pleas	se go to ques	tion 63
61	61. Has your country informed the Parties through the BCH of its decision(s) regarding	\boxtimes	Yes, always
01.			In some cases only
import, of LMOs-FFP?	import, of LMOs-FFP?		No
			Yes, always
62.	Has your country informed the Parties through the BCH of its decision(s) regarding domestic use, including placing on the market, of LMOs-FFP within 15 days?		In some cases only
			Yes, but with delays (i.e. longer than 15 days)
			No

63. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 11 in your country, including measures in case of lack of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of LMOs-FFP:

Question # 51 Explanatory Comment:

The regulations for LMOs-FFP are the following:

- 1. DA AO No. 8s. 2002 (Section 11); Policy on Importation for Direct Use as Food or Feed, or for Processing
- 2. DA MC No. 8; Guidelines for the Phytosanitary Inspection of Regulated Articles for Food, Feed and Processing, Pursuant to AO No. 8 (Series of 2002), "Rules and Regulations on the Importation and Release into the Environment of Plants and Plant Products Derived from the Use of Modern Biotechnology"
- 3. DA AO No. 22 s.2007; Amending Specific Sections of Part V of D.A. Administrative Order No. 8, s. 2002, "Approval Process for the Importation of Regulated Articles for Direct Use as Food or Feed, or for Processing"
- 4. DA AO No.31s. 2008. (Oct. 13, 2008); Adoption of codex principles for the risk analysis of foods derived from modern biotechnology and the codex guideline for the conduct of food safety assessment of foods derived from recombinant –DNA plants

Question # 58 Explanatry Comment: Approved LMOs for direct use as food and feed or for processing (FFP) from Sept 12, 2007 up to date.

Soybean MON89788, Corn 3272, Soybean A2704-12, Corn MON89034, Soybean DP356043, Corn MIR162, Soybean CV127, Soybean A5547-127, Corn Bt11 x corn GA21, Corn TC1507 x Corn 59122, Corn 59122 x Corn TC1507 x Corn NK 603, Corn Bt11 x Corn MIR604, Corn MIR604 x Corn GA21, Corn Bt11 x corn MIR604 x corn GA21, Corn MON89034 x corn NK603, Corn MON89034 x corn MON89034 x corn MON89034 x corn 1507 x corn 88017 x corn 59122, Corn NK603x corn T25, Corn Bt11 x corn MIR162 x corn GA21, Corn 3272 x corn Bt11 x corn MIR604 x corn GA21, Corn BT11 x corn MIR162 x corn MIR604 x corn GA21, Corn MON89034 x corn TC1507 x corn NK603, Corn Bt11 x corn MIR162 x corn MIR162 x corn MIR162 x corn TC1507 x corn TC1507 x corn TC1507 x Corn Bt11 x DAS 59122 x MIR604 x TC1507 x GA21

	Article 12 – Review o	of decision	n	
64.	Has your country established a mechanism for the review and change of a decision regarding an intentional transboundary movement of LMOs?		Yes No	

65.	Has your country ever received a request for a review of a decision?		Yes
			No
		\boxtimes	Yes, decision reviewed
66.	Has your country ever reviewed / changed a decision regarding an intentional transboundary movement of LMOs?		Yes, decision reviewed and changed
	•		No
67.	In the current reporting period, how many	\boxtimes	None
	decisions were reviewed and/or changed regarding an intentional transboundary		Less than 5
	movement of an LMO?		More than 5
If yo	ou replied <u>None</u> to the question 67 please go to qu	estion 71	
	Has your country informed the notifier and the BCH of the review and/or changes in the decision?		Yes, always
68.			In some cases only
00.			In some cases only the notifier
			In some cases only the BCH
			No
			Yes, always
69.	Has your country informed the notifier and		In some cases only
	the BCH of the review and changes in the decision within thirty days?		Yes, but with delays (i.e. longer than 30 days)
			No
			Yes, always
70.	Has your country provided reasons to the		In some cases only
70.	notifier and the BCH for the review and/or changes in the decision?		In some cases only the notifier
			In some cases only the BCH
			No

71. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 12 in your country:

Question # 64 Explanatory Comment:

The DA has established a mechanism for the review and change of a decision regarding an intentional transboundary movement of LMOS

DA AO No. 8, Section 3, Principles of Risk Assessment: "If new information on the regulated article and its effects on human health and the environment becomes available, the risk assessment shall be readdressed to determine whether the risk has changed or whether there is a need to amend the risk management strategies accordingly."

DA AO 8 Section 12, I likewise provides the conditions for a revocation of a permit. A permit is revoked if new technical information indicates that the regulated article will result in significant risk to human health and the environment

The DA had already received a request for a review of a decision

In March 2007, the DA received a request from Greenpeace demanding the complete and immediate withdrawal of corn Mon863 from the global market; e.g., the Greenpeace demand for the government to withdraw corn MON 863 is based on the reinterpretation of statistical results performed by Seralini G.E. et al entitled "New Analysis of Rat Feeding Study with GM Maize Reveals Signs of Hepatorenal Toxicity". Published by the CRIIGEN (Committee for Independent Research and Genetic Engineering). The authors alleged that the Monsanto submission in 2003 to the European Commission for authorization to market, was based on an erroneous analysis of the data of a 90-day rat feeding study...

Comprehensive risk assessment on the study re corn MOn863 vis-a-vis the original submission by Monsanto was done by five independent experts. Based on their review, no adverse effects was identified on human and animal health or the environment and corn MON863 was confirmed to not adversely affect the health of rats.

	Article 13 – Simplified procedure				
72.	Has your country established a system for the application of the simplified procedure regarding an intentional transboundary		Yes No		
72	movement of LMOs?	П	Yes		
/3.	Has your country ever applied the simplified procedure?	\boxtimes	No		

	74. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 73, has your country informed the Parties through the BCH of the cases where the simplified procedure applies?		Yes, always		
74.			In some cases only		
			No		
			Not applicable		
75	To the suggest assessing assist how many	\boxtimes	None		
75.	In the current reporting period, how many LMOs has your country applied the simplified		Less than 5		
	procedure to?		More than 5		
76.	Here you may provide further details on the in country:	nplementa	ntion of Article 13 in your		
	There is a regulatory framework in place.				
	Article 14 – Bilateral, regional and multilateral agreements and arrangements				
77.	Has your country entered into any bilateral,		Yes		
	regional or multilateral agreements or arrangements?		No		
			Yes, always		
78.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 77, has your		In some cases only		
	country informed the Parties through the BCH of the agreements or arrangements?		No		
			Not applicable		
79.	If you answered Yes to question 77, please provious objective of the agreements or arrangements enter		description of the scope and		
	[Type your text	here]		
80.	Here you may provide further details on the incountry:	you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 14 in your ry:			
	not applicable				
	Articles 15 – Risk as	sessment			
81.	Has your country established a mechanism for	\boxtimes	Yes		
	conducting risk assessments prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?		No		

82.	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 81, does this mechanism include procedures for identifying experts to conduct the risk assessments?		Yes
			No
83.	Has your country established guidelines for	\boxtimes	Yes
	how to conduct risk assessments prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?		No
84.	Has your country acquired the necessary		Yes
	domestic capacity to conduct risk assessment?		No
85.	Has your country established a mechanism for training national experts to conduct risk		Yes
	assessments?		No
86.	Has your country ever conducted a risk		Yes
	assessment of an LMO for intentional introduction into the environment?		No
87.	Has your country ever conducted a risk		Yes
	assessment of an LMO intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing?		No
88.	If your country has taken decision(s) on	\boxtimes	Yes, always
00.	If your country has taken decision(s) on LMOs for intentional introduction into the environment or on domestic use of LMOs-FFP, were risk assessments conducted for all decisions taken?		In some cases only
			No
			Not applicable
			Yes, always
89.	Has your country submitted summary reports		In some cases only
	of the risk assessments to the BCH?		No
			Not applicable
			None
90.	In the current reporting period, if your country has taken decisions regarding LMOs, how many risk assessments were conducted in the context of these decisions?		5 or less
			10 or less
		\boxtimes	More than 10

			Yes, always			
91.	Has your country ever required the exporter to		In some cases only			
	conduct the risk assessment(s)?		No			
			Not applicable			
			Yes, always			
92.	Has your country ever required the notifier to		In some cases only			
	bear the cost of the risk assessment(s) of LMOs?		No			
			Not applicable			
93.	3. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 15 in your country:					
	Explanatory Comment					
	Question # 81:					
	Risk Assessment Guidelines inlcude:					
	Codex Alimentarius guideline for the conduct of food safety assessment of foods derived from recombinanty-DNA Plants CAC/GL 45-2003					
	Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety					
	OECD Consensus Documents (Compositional Consideration, Biology Documents, Consideration for Environmental Risk Assessment)					
	Summary reports of the risk assessment are found in	n the BC	CH.			
	Question # 89:					
	Not all the Risk Assessment submitted to the BCH because of the difficulty caused by constant change in the format.					
	Article 16 – Risk management					

94.	Has your country established and maintained appropriate and operational mechanisms, measures and strategies to regulate, manage and control risks identified in risk assessments for:			
			Yes	
	(i) LMOs for intentional introduction into the		Yes, to some extent	
	environment?		No	
		∇	V	
	(ii) LMOs intended for direct use as food or		Yes	
	feed, or for processing?		Yes, to some extent	
			No	
95.	Has your country astablished and maintained	\boxtimes	Yes	
93.	Has your country established and maintained appropriate measures to prevent unintentional transboundary movements of LMOs?		Yes, to some extent	
			No	
96.	Has your country taken measures to ensure that any LMO, whether imported or locally developed, undergoes an appropriate period	\boxtimes	Yes	
	of observation that is commensurate with its life-cycle or generation time before it is put to its intended use?		No	
97.	Has your country cooperated with other Parties with a view to identifying LMOs or		Yes	
	specific traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity?		No	
98.	Has your country cooperated with other Parties with a view to taking measures regarding the treatment of LMOs or specific		Yes	
	traits that may have adverse effects on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity?		No	
99.	Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 16 in your country, including any details regarding risk management strategies, also in case of lack of scientific certainty on potential adverse effects of LMOs:			
	[Type your text here]			

Article 17 – Unintentional transboundary movements and emergency measures			
100. Has your country made available to the BCH the relevant details setting out its point of contact for the purposes of receiving notifications under Article 17?		Yes No	
101. Has your country established a mechanism for addressing emergency measures in case of unintentional transboundary movements of LMOs that are likely to have significant adverse effect on biological diversity?		Yes No	
102. Has your country implemented emergency measures in response to information about releases that led, or may have led, to unintentional transboundary movements of LMOs?		Yes No	
103. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information concerning occurrences that led, or may have led, to unintentional transboundary movement(s) of one or more LMOs to or from territories under its jurisdiction?		Never Less than 5 Less than 10 More than 10	
If you replied <u>Never</u> to question 103 please go to question	on 107		
104. Has your country notified affected or potentially affected States, the BCH and, where appropriate, relevant international organizations, of the above release?		Yes, for every occurrence Yes, for some occurrences No	
105. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 104, who did your country notify?		The affected or potentially affected State The BCH Relevant international organizations Not applicable	

Yes, to some extent

No

identity of the LMOs is *not known* through means such as identity preservation systems,

they may contain living modified organisms

introduction into the environment, as well as

a contact point for further information?

not intended for intentional

and are

110.	Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs-FFP clearly identifies that, in cases where the identity of the LMOs <i>is known</i> through means such as identity preservation systems, they <i>contain</i> living modified organisms and are not intended for intentional introduction into the environment, as well as a contact point for further information?	Yes Yes, to some extent No
111.	Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs that are destined for <i>contained use</i> clearly identifies them as <i>living modified organisms</i> and specifies any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information, including the name and address of the individual and institution to whom the LMO are consigned?	Yes Yes, to some extent No
112.	Has your country taken measures to require that documentation accompanying LMOs that are <i>intended for intentional introduction into the environment</i> of the Party of import, clearly identifies them as <i>living modified organisms</i> ; specifies the identity and relevant traits and/or characteristics, any requirements for the safe handling, storage, transport and use, the contact point for further information and, as appropriate, the name and address of the importer and exporter; and contains a declaration that the movement is in conformity with the requirements of this Protocol applicable to the exporter?	Yes Yes, to some extent No
113.	Does your country have the capacity to enforce the requirements of identification and documentation of LMOs?	Yes Yes, to some extent No
114.	Has your country established procedures for the sampling and detection of LMOs?	Yes, to some extent No

115.	Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 18 in your country:			
	[Type your to	Type your text here		
	Article 19 – Competent National Autho	orities and N	ational Focal Points	
116.	. Has your country designated one <i>national</i> focal point for the Cartagena Protocol to be		Yes	
	responsible for liaison with the Secretariat?		No	
117.	Has your country designated one <i>national</i> focal point for the Biosafety Clearing-House to liaise with the Secretariat regarding issues	\boxtimes	Yes	
	of relevance to the development and implementation of the BCH?		No	
118.	Has your country designated one or more			
	competent national authorities, which are responsible for performing the administrative functions required by the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety and are authorized to act on your country's behalf with respect to those functions?		Yes, one	
			Yes, more than one	
			No	
119.	In case your country designated more than one <i>competent national authority</i> , has your country conveyed to the Secretariat the respective responsibilities of those authorities?	\boxtimes	Yes	
			No	
			Not applicable	
120	Has your country made available the required information referred in questions 116-119 to the BCH?		Yes, all information	
120.			Yes, some information	
			No	
121.	In case your country has designated more than one <i>competent national authority</i> , has your	\boxtimes	Yes	
	country established a mechanism for the		No	
	coordination of their actions prior to taking decisions regarding LMOs?		Not applicable	
122.	Has your country established adequate institutional capacity to enable the <i>competent</i>		Yes	
	national authority(ies) to perform the		Yes, to some extent	
	administrative functions required by the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety?		No	

Type your text here 1	123.	123. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 19 in your country:			
124. Please provide an overview of the status of the information provided by your country to the BCH by specifying for each category of information whether it is available and whether it has been submitted to the BCH. a. Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20, paragraph 3 (a)) b. National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) c. Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14, paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b)) Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH		[Type your text	here	1	
the BCH by specifying for each category of information whether it is available and whether it has been submitted to the BCH. Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH		Article 20 – Information Sharing and the B	iosafety (Clearing-House (BCH)	
a. Existing national legislation, regulations and guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20, paragraph 3 (a)) Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available and in the BCH Information available but not in the	124.	the BCH by specifying for each category of in			
guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure (Article 20, paragraph 3 (a)) Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH					
(Article 20, paragraph 3 (a)) Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information not available Information available and in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH	a.	guidelines for implementing the Protocol, as well as information required by Parties for the advance informed agreement procedure			
b. National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) Linformation available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Linformation available and in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Linformation available but not in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH Linformation available but not in the BCH				•	
b. National laws, regulations and guidelines applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH				Information not available	
applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available and in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH					
processing (Article 11, paragraph 5) Information available but only partially available in the BCH Information not available Information available and in the BCH C. Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14, paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b)) Information available but not in the BCH Information available but not in the BCH	b.	applicable to the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for			
C. Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14, paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b)) ☐ Information available but not in the BCH ☐ Information available but only partially available in the BCH				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
c. Bilateral, multilateral and regional agreements and arrangements (Articles 14, paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b)) Information available but not in the BCH Information available but only partially available in the BCH				Information not available	
agreements and arrangements (Articles 14, paragraph 2 and 20, paragraph 3 (b)) Information available but only partially available in the BCH					
partially available in the BCH	c.	agreements and arrangements (Articles 14,			
Information not available				-	
				Information not available	

			Information available and in the BCH
d.	Contact details for competent national authorities (Article 19, paragraphs 2 and 3), national focal points (Article 19, paragraphs 1 and 3), and emergency contacts (Article 17, paragraph 3 (e))		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
e.	Reports submitted by the Parties on the operation of the Protocol (Article 20, paragraph 3 (e))		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
f.	Decisions by a Party on regulating the transit of specific living modified organisms (LMOs)		Information available but not in the BCH
	(Article 6, paragraph 1)		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		\boxtimes	Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
g.	Occurrence of unintentional transboundary movements that are likely to have significant		Information available but not in the BCH
	adverse effects on biological diversity (Article 17, paragraph 1)		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available

			Information available and in the BCH
h.	Illegal transboundary movements of LMOs (Article 25, paragraph 3)		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
i.	Final decisions regarding the importation or		Information available and in the BCH
1,	release of LMOs (i.e. approval or prohibition, any conditions, requests for further information, extensions granted, reasons for decision) (Articles 10, paragraph 3 and 20, paragraph 3(d))		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
		\boxtimes	Information available and in the BCH
j.	Information on the application of domestic regulations to specific imports of LMOs (Article 14, paragraph 4)		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
		\boxtimes	Information available and in the BCH
k.	Final decisions regarding the domestic use of LMOs that may be subject to transboundary movement for direct use as food or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 1)		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available

1.	Final decisions regarding the import of LMOs intended for direct use as food or feed, or for processing that are taken under domestic regulatory frameworks (Article 11, paragraph		Information available and in the BCH
			Information available but not in the BCH
	4) or in accordance with annex III (Article 11, paragraph 6) (requirement of Article 20, paragraph 3(d))		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
m.	Declarations regarding the framework to be used for LMOs intended for direct use as food		Information available but not in the BCH
	or feed, or for processing (Article 11, paragraph 6)		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
n.	Review and change of decisions regarding intentional transboundary movements of		Information available but not in the BCH
	LMOs (Article 12, paragraph 1)		Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		\boxtimes	Information not available
			Information available and in the BCH
о.	LMOs granted exemption status by each Party (Article 13, paragraph 1)		Information available but not in the BCH
			Information available but only partially available in the BCH
			Information not available

		Information available and in the BCH
p.	Cases where intentional transboundary movement may take place at the same time as	Information available but not in the BCH
	the movement is notified to the Party of import (Article 13, paragraph 1)	Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
		Information available and in the BCH
q.	Summaries of risk assessments or environmental reviews of LMOs generated by regulatory processes and relevant information	Information available but not in the BCH
	regarding products thereof (Article 20, paragraph 3 (c))	Information available but only partially available in the BCH
		Information not available
125.	Has your country established a mechanism for strengthening the capacity of the BCH	Yes
	National Focal Point to perform its administrative functions?	No
126.	Has your country established a mechanism for	
	the coordination among the BCH National Focal Point, the Cartagena Protocol focal	Yes
	point, and the competent national authority(ies) for making information available to the BCH?	No
107		Yes, always
127.	Does your country use the information available in the BCH in its decision making	Yes, in some cases
	processes on LMOs?	No
128.	Has your country experienced difficulties	Yes
	accessing or using the BCH?	No
129	If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 128, has your	Yes
/-	country reported these problems to the BCH or the Secretariat?	No
	of the goodennat:	Not applicable

130.	to the BCH complete and up-to date?		No
131.	Here you may provide further details on the country:	implement	ation of Article 20 in your
	[Type your tex	t here]
	Article 21 – Confidentia	l informat	ion
132.	Has your country established procedures to protect confidential information received	\boxtimes	Yes
	under the Protocol?		No
133	Does your country allow the notifier to		Yes, always
133.	identify information that is to be treated as confidential?		In some cases only
	confidential:		No
134.	Here you may provide further details on the country:	implement	ation of Article 21 in your
	[Type your tex	t here]
	[Type your tex Article 22 – Capacity]
135.			Yes No
135.	Has your country received external support or benefited from collaborative activities with other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and	y-building	Yes
	Has your country received external support or benefited from collaborative activities with other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and	y-building	Yes No
	Article 22 – Capacity Has your country received external support or benefited from collaborative activities with other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety?	y-building	Yes No Bilateral channels
	Article 22 – Capacity Has your country received external support or benefited from collaborative activities with other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety? If you answered Yes to question 135, how	y-building	Yes No Bilateral channels Regional channels
136.	Article 22 – Capacity Has your country received external support or benefited from collaborative activities with other Parties in the development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in biosafety? If you answered Yes to question 135, how	y-building	Yes No Bilateral channels Regional channels Multilateral channels

	\boxtimes	Bilateral channels
138. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 137, how were		Regional channels
these resources made available?		Multilateral channels
		Not applicable
139. Is your country eligible to receive funding	\boxtimes	Yes
from the Global Environment Facility (GEF)?		No
If you replied No to question 139 please go to question	143	
140. Has your country ever initiated a process to	\boxtimes	Yes
access GEF funds for building capacity in biosafety?		No
		Very easy
141. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 140, how would you characterize the process?		Easy
	\boxtimes	Average
Please add further details about your experience in accessing GEF funds under question 150.		Difficult
		Very difficult
		Pilot Biosafety Enabling Activity
		Development of National Biosafety Frameworks
		Implementation of National Biosafety Frameworks
142. Has your country ever received funding from the GEF for building capacity in biosafety?		Building Capacity for Effective Participation in the BCH (Phase I)
		Building Capacity for Effective Participation in the BCH (Phase II)
		None of the above

Second National	Report on t	he Implementation	of the	Cartagena	Protocol or	n Biosafety-	Page 35

143. During the current reporting period, has your			
country undertaken activities for the	\boxtimes	Yes	
development and/or strengthening of human resources and institutional capacities in		No	
biosafety?			

	\boxtimes	Institutional capacity
		Human resources capacity development and training
		Risk assessment and other scientific and technical expertise
	\boxtimes	Risk management
		Public awareness, participation and education in biosafety
		Information exchange and data management including participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House
		Scientific, technical and institutional collaboration at subregional, regional and international levels
	\boxtimes	Technology transfer
144. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 143, in which of the following areas were these activities undertaken?		Identification of LMOs, including their detection
		Socio-economic considerations
		Implementation of the documentation requirements under Article 18.2 of the Protocol
		Handling of confidential information
		Measures to address unintentional and/or illegal transboundary movements of LMOs
		Scientific biosafety research relating to LMOs
		Taking into account risks to human health
		Other: <text entry=""></text>
		Not applicable

Second National	Report on t	the Implemen	ntation of the	Cartagena	Protocol of	n Biosafety-	Page 37

145. During the current reporting period, has your	\boxtimes	Yes
country carried out a capacity-building needs assessment?		No
	\boxtimes	Yes
146. Does your country still have capacity-building needs?		Yes, a few
		No

	\boxtimes	Institutional capacity
		Human resources capacity development and training
		Risk assessment and other scientific and technical expertise
	\boxtimes	Risk management
		Public awareness, participation and education in biosafety
		Information exchange and data management including participation in the Biosafety Clearing-House
		Scientific, technical and institutional collaboration at subregional, regional and international levels
	\boxtimes	Technology transfer
147. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 146, indicate which of the following areas still need capacity-building.		Identification of LMOs, including their detection
		Socio-economic considerations
		Implementation of the documentation requirements under Article 18.2 of the Protocol
		Handling of confidential information
		Measures to address unintentional and/or illegal transboundary movements of LMOs
		Scientific biosafety research relating to LMOs
		Taking into account risks to human health
		Other: <text entry=""></text>
		Not applicable

148.	Has your country developed a capacity-building strategy or action plan?		Yes No
149.	Has your country submitted the details of national biosafety experts to the Roster of Experts in the BCH?		Yes No
150.	Here you may provide further details on the country, including further details about your exp	-	•
	[Type your te	ext here]
	Article 23 – Public awarene	ess and par	ticipation
151.	Has your country established a strategy or put	\boxtimes	Yes
	in place legislation for promoting and facilitating public awareness, education and		Yes, to some extent
	participation concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs?		No
152.	Has your country established a biosafety	\boxtimes	Yes
	website?		No
152	Heaven country established a mechanism to	\boxtimes	Yes
155.	Has your country established a mechanism to ensure public access to information on living		Yes, to a limited extent
	modified organisms that may be imported?		No
154	Has your country established a mechanism to	\boxtimes	Yes
134.	consult the public in the decision-making		Yes, to a limited extent
	process regarding LMOs?		No
155	Has your country established a mechanism to	\boxtimes	Yes
133.	make available to the public the results of		Yes, to a limited extent
	decisions taken on LMOs?		No
156.	Has your country taken any initiative to		Yes
	inform its public about the means of public access to the Biosafety Clearing-House?		No

157. In the current reporting period, has your	Yes				
country promoted and facilitated public awareness, education and participation	Yes, to a limited extent				
concerning the safe transfer, handling and use of LMOs?	☐ No				
150 If you arrayand Var to question 157 has your	∑ Yes				
158. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to question 157, has your country cooperated with other States and interesting a land in the states.	☐ No				
international bodies?	Not applicable				
159. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country consulted the public in	Never				
the decision-making process regarding LMOs and made the results of such decisions	Less than 5				
available to the public?	More than 5				
160. Here you may provide further details on the country:	e implementation of Article 23 in your				
Section 7 of E.O. 514 Public Participation: The agencies, in developing and adopting biosafety making biosafety decisions, shall promote, facil education, meaningful, responsible and account into their respective administrative issuances and on public participation in accordance with the fe	policies, guidelines and measures and in litate, and conduct public awareness, able participation. They shall incorporate ad processes best practices and mechanisms				
DA AO 8 Section 8 G, Section 9 E and Section for application for field testing, propagation and					
The BCH Pilipinas is a mechanism for sharing, access and exchange of information or biosafety decisions, among others.					
Article 24 – Nor	n-Parties				
161. Has your country entered into any bilateral, regional, or multilateral agreement with non-Parties regarding transboundary movements of LMOs?					
162. Has your country ever imported LMOs from a non-Party?	⊠ Yes				
mon-r arty:	∐ No				
163. Has your country ever exported LMOs to a	Yes				
non-Party?	No				

	\boxtimes	Yes, always
164. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to questions 162 or 163, were the transboundary movements of LMOs		In some cases only
consistent with the objective of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety?		No
		Not applicable
	\boxtimes	Yes, always
165. If you answered <i>Yes</i> to questions 162 or 163, was information about these transboundary		In some cases only
movements submitted to the BCH?		No
		Not applicable
		Yes, always
166. If your country is not a Party to the Cartagena Protocol, has it contributed information to the		In some cases only
BCH on LMOs released in, or moved into, or out of, areas within its national jurisdiction?		No
		Not applicable
167. Here you may provide further details on the country:	implemen	tation of Article 24 in your
[Type your text	t here	1
[Type your text Article 25 – Illegal transbour		
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing		
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures	ndary mo	vements
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for	ndary mo	Yes
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol?	ndary mo	Yes No
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for detecting illegal transboundary movements of LMOs?	ndary mo	Yes No Yes
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for detecting illegal transboundary movements of LMOs? 170. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information	ndary mo	Yes No Yes No
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for detecting illegal transboundary movements of LMOs? 170. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information concerning cases of illegal transboundary movements of an LMO to or from territories	ndary mo	Yes No Yes No Never
Article 25 – Illegal transbour 168. Has your country adopted domestic measures aimed at preventing and/or penalizing transboundary movements of LMOs carried out in contravention of its domestic measures to implement this Protocol? 169. Has your country established a strategy for detecting illegal transboundary movements of LMOs? 170. In the current reporting period, how many times has your country received information concerning cases of illegal transboundary	ndary mo	Yes No Yes No Never Less than 5

		Yes			
		Only in some cases			
171. Has your country informed the BCH and the		Only the other Party(ies) involved			
other Party(ies) involved?		Only the BCH			
		No			
		Not applicable			
	\boxtimes	Yes			
172. Has your country established the origin of the LMO(s)?		Yes, some cases			
		No			
		Yes			
173. Has your country established the nature of the LMO(s)?		Yes, some cases			
		No			
174. Has your country established the	\boxtimes	Yes			
circumstances of the illegal transboundary		Yes, some cases			
movement(s)?		No			
175. Here you may provide further details on the implementation of Article 25 in your country:					
[Type your text	Type your text here				
Article 26 – Socio-economic considerations					
176. If your country has taken a decision on	\boxtimes	Yes			
import, has it ever taken into account socio-		Only in some cases			
economic considerations arising from the impact of the LMO on the conservation and		No			
sustainable use of biological diversity?		Not applicable			

177.	Has your country cooperated with other Parties on research and information exchange on any socio-economic impacts of LMOs?		Yes		
			Yes, to a limited extent		
		\boxtimes	No		
178.	Here you may provide further details on the imcountry:	plement	tation of Article 26 in your		
	[Type your text h	Type your text here			
Article 27 – Liability and Redress					
179.	Has your country signed the Nagoya-Kuala		Yes		
	Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress?		No		
180.	Has your country initiated steps towards ratification, acceptance or approval of the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol?	\boxtimes	Yes		
			No		
181. Here you may provide further details on any activities undertaken in your country towards the implementation of the Nagoya-Kuala Lumpur Supplementary Protocol on Liability and Redress:					
	[Type your text here]		1		
Article 33 – Monitoring and reporting					
182.	. Has your country submitted the previous national reports (Interim and First National Reports)?		Yes		
			Yes, Interim report only		
		\boxtimes	Yes, First report only		
			No		
			Not applicable		

Second National Report on the Implementation of the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety- Page 43

183. If your country did not submit previous reports, indicate the main challenges that hindered the submission	reports, indicate the main challenges that		Lack of financial resources to gather the necessary information		
			Lack of relevant information at the national level		
			Difficulty in compiling the information from various sectors		
		No obligation to submit (e.g. country was not a Party at the time)			
			Other, please specify [Type your text here]		
			Not applicable		
Other information					
184. Please use this field to provide any other information on issues related to national implementation of the Protocol, including any obstacles or impediments encountered.					
	[Type your text here]				
Comments on reporting format					
185. Please use this field to provide any other information on difficulties that you have encountered in filling in this report.					
	Provide some notes/clarification and provide space for the Party filling to register its comments				